Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) YANAGIOKA, MASAKI	
10/599,151		
Examiner	Art Unit	
JOHN USELDING	1763	

JOHN	NUSELDING	1763		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 October 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sathis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with time periods:	ame day as filing a Notice of plies: (1) an amendment, aff Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
 a)	Action, or (2) the date set forth n SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONI TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenesset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	th the petition under 37 CFR 1. and the corresponding amount ad statutory period for reply orig	136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office.	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension t a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS 	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but price	or to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause	
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further considerates (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form 	ation and/or search (see NO	TE below);		
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresp			ille issues loi	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	e attached Notice of Non-Co 	ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	•		-	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,10 and 11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		II be entered and an e	explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befor because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and to the contract of the contract	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	
11. \(\infty\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See attachment.	NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S13. ☐ Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/MILTON I CANO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1763	/John Uselding/ Examiner Art Unit: 1763			